



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc)

## On sets of points that determine only acute angles

Eyal Ackerman<sup>a</sup>, Oren Ben-Zwi<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Computer Science, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustraße 9, 14195 Berlin, Germany<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Haifa, Haifa 31905, Israel

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 26 May 2008

Accepted 28 July 2008

Available online 19 October 2008

## ABSTRACT

We show that for every integer  $d$  there is a set of points in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  of size  $\Omega((\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}})^d \sqrt{d})$  such that every angle determined by three points in the set is smaller than  $\pi/2$ . This improves the best known lower bound by a  $\Theta(\sqrt{d})$  factor.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $P$  be a set of points in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . Given three points  $a, b, c \in P$  the angle  $\angle abc$  is *acute* if it is smaller than  $\pi/2$ , *right* if it is equal to  $\pi/2$ , and *obtuse* if it is greater than  $\pi/2$ . Clearly, the  $2^d$  vertices of a  $d$ -dimensional cube (or box) determine no obtuse angle. Erdős conjectured, and Danzer and Grünbaum [5] proved that this is best possible, that is, any set of  $2^d + 1$  points in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  has three points that determine an obtuse angle.

Denote by  $f(d)$  the maximum size of a set of points in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  that determine only acute angles. Hence  $f(d) \leq 2^d$ . Danzer and Grünbaum [5] showed that  $f(d) \geq 2d - 1$  and conjectured that this bound is tight. However, Erdős and Füredi [7] (see also [1,2]) gave a proof from THE BOOK that this conjecture is false. They used a probabilistic argument to show that  $f(d) \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}})^d \rfloor \approx 0.5 \times 1.1547 \dots^d$ . They also claimed, but gave no proof or hint, that a more complicated proof yields the better bound  $f(d) \geq (\sqrt[4]{2} - o(1))^d \approx 1.189 \dots^d$ . Recently, Bevan [4] improved the former bound by a constant factor to  $2 \lfloor \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}})^{d+1} \rfloor \approx 0.77 \times 1.1547 \dots^d$ . Here we show that by using a method of Komlós et al. [8] this bound can be improved by a  $\Theta(\sqrt{d})$  factor. It is quite likely that the claimed bound, if true, can also be improved by this method.

## 2. The lower bound

In this section we prove

E-mail addresses: [eyal@inf.fu-berlin.de](mailto:eyal@inf.fu-berlin.de) (E. Ackerman), [nbenzv03@cs.haifa.ac.il](mailto:nbenzv03@cs.haifa.ac.il) (O. Ben-Zwi).

**Theorem 1.**  $f(d) = \Omega\left(\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^d \sqrt{d}\right)$ .

As in the proof of Erdős and Füredi [7], we pick at random points from the  $d$ -dimensional hypercube. It then follows that the number of triplets of points that form a right angle (recall that the hypercube has no obtuse angles) is small. Instead of removing the points involved in a right angle, as in the proof of Erdős and Füredi [7] and its refinement [4], we show that there are not too many subsets of four points that determine two right angles. To get the better lower bound we then use the following theorem of Bertram-Kretzberg and Lefmann [3], which is a special case of a theorem of Duke et al. [6] that, in turn, extends a theorem of Komlós et al. [8].

**Theorem 2** (Special Case of Corollary 2.7 [3]). *Let  $H$  be a 3-uniform hypergraph with  $n$  vertices and average degree at most  $t^2$  where  $t \rightarrow \infty$  with  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If the number of 2-cycles in  $H$  is at most  $c \cdot n \cdot t^{3-\epsilon}$ , for some constants  $c, \epsilon > 0$ , then one can find in polynomial time an independent set of size  $\Omega(n\sqrt{\log t}/t)$  in  $H$ .*

A 2-cycle in a 3-uniform hypergraph is formed by four vertices  $a, b, c, d$  and two hyperedges  $\{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}$ .

We now describe the proof in detail. Pick  $n$  points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  ( $n$  to be determined later) from the  $d$ -dimensional hypercube  $\{0, 1\}^d$  in the following way:  $x_{ij} = 0$  with probability  $1/2$  and  $x_{ij} = 1$  with probability  $1/2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Note that it might happen that  $x_i = x_j$ . Observe that if a triplet  $x_i, x_j, x_k$  forms a right angle at  $x_j$  then the dot product of the vectors  $x_i - x_j$  and  $x_k - x_j$  is zero. In other words, for every  $1 \leq \ell \leq d$  we have  $x_{i\ell} - x_{j\ell} = 0$  or  $x_{k\ell} - x_{j\ell} = 0$ . For a fixed  $\ell$  this happens with probability  $3/4$ ; thus the probability that  $x_i, x_j, x_k$  form a right angle at  $x_j$  is at most  $(3/4)^d$ . We say that a triplet  $x_i, x_j, x_k$  is *bad* if one of the dot products that it defines is zero. It follows that the expected number of bad triplets is  $3 \binom{n}{3} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^d$ .

Next we define a 3-uniform hypergraph  $H$  whose vertices are the selected points and whose hyperedges are the bad triplets. We now wish to estimate the number of 2-cycles in  $H$ . A 2-cycle involves four vertices, two of which are the endpoints of the cycle, and two bad triplets. Let  $x_i, x_j, x_k, x_\ell$  be four chosen vertices. For  $d = 1$  the probability that  $x_i, x_j, x_k$  and  $x_j, x_k, x_\ell$  both form a right angle (more precisely a dot product equals zero) is at most  $5/8$ . This can be verified by considering all the  $2^4$  possible values of  $x_i, x_j, x_k, x_\ell$  and the possible choices of the apexes of the angles. Thus the expected number of 2-cycles is at most  $\binom{4}{2} \binom{n}{4} \left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^d$ .

Using Markov's inequality we get that with high probability, the numbers of hyperedges and 2-cycles in  $H$  are both not greater than their expected values by more than a constant factor. Thus we would like the following inequalities to hold:

$$t^2 > \frac{c_1 n^3 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^d}{n} \tag{1}$$

$$c_2 n^4 \left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^d < c n t^{3-\epsilon}. \tag{2}$$

By fixing, say,  $n = c_3 \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{101d/100}$  and  $t = c_4 n^{1/101} = c_5 \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{d/100}$ , for suitable  $c_3, c_4, c_5$ , it is easy to verify that the inequalities hold. Therefore,  $H$  has an independent set of size  $\Omega(n\sqrt{\log t}/t) = \Omega\left(\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^d \sqrt{d}\right)$ . Clearly, this set does not contain bad triplets. Recall that the original random set might contain duplicated vertices; however, a triplet containing two identical vertices is bad, and thus the independent set contains no duplicated vertices.

**Remark 1.** Since we require  $t = \Omega\left(n\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^d\right)$ , the guaranteed size of the independent set is  $O\left(\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^d \sqrt{\log t}\right)$ . Thus, the base of the exponential part of the lower bound cannot be improved using this method.

**Remark 2.** Since [Theorem 2](#) also guarantees a polynomial-time algorithm to find the independent set, one can find the guaranteed set of points that do not determine acute angles in time polynomial in the size of the set.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Guy Wolfovitz for helpful discussions, specifically, for suggesting using [\[6\]](#) instead of [\[8\]](#) in order to simplify the proof of [Theorem 1](#). The first author was supported by a fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

## References

- [1] M. Aigner, G.M. Ziegler, *Proofs from THE BOOK*, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, 2003, pp. 82–83.
- [2] N. Alon, J.H. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, 2nd ed., Wiley, 2000, pp. 215–216.
- [3] C. Bertram-Kretzberg, H. Lefmann, The algorithmic aspects of uncrowded hypergraphs, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 29 (1999) 201–230.
- [4] D. Bevan, Sets of points determining only acute angles and some related colouring problems, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 13 (2006).
- [5] L. Danzer, B. Grünbaum, Über zwei Probleme bezüglich konvexer Körper von P. Erdős und von V.L. Klee, *Math. Zeitschrift* 79 (1962) 95–99.
- [6] R.A. Duke, H. Lefmann, V. Rödl, On uncrowded hypergraphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 6 (2–3) (1995) 209–212.
- [7] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, The greatest angle among  $n$  points in the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* 17 (1983) 275–283.
- [8] J. Komlós, J. Pintz, E. Szemerédi, A lower bound for Heilbronn's problem, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 25 (1982) 13–24.